



FSN-FM 0008

PROFITABILITY OF RICE-FISH FARMING IN BIDA, NORTH CENTRAL NIGERIA

Nnaji, J. C., Madu, C. T. and Raji, A.

National Institute for Freshwater Fisheries Research, New Bussa.

Copyright 2010, Fisheries Society of Nigeria.

This paper was prepared for presentation at the 25th Annual International Conference and Exhibition in Administrative Staff College of Nigeria (ASCON), Topo-Badagry, Lagos, Nigeria, 25th – 29th October, 2010.

This paper was selected for presentation by an FISON Program Committee following review of information contained in an abstract submitted by the author(s). Contents of the paper, as presented, have not been reviewed by the Fisheries Society of Nigeria and are subject to correction by the author(s). The material, as presented, does not necessarily reflect any position of the Fisheries Society of Nigeria, its officers, or members. Papers presented at FISON meetings are subject to publication review by Editorial Committees of the Fisheries Society of Nigeria. Electronic reproduction, distribution, or storage of any part of this paper for commercial purposes without the written consent of the Fisheries Society of Nigeria is prohibited. Permission to reproduce in print is restricted to an abstract of not more than 300 words; illustrations may not be copied. The abstract must contain conspicuous acknowledgement of where and by whom the paper was presented. Write Librarian, Fisheries Society of Nigeria (FISON), P. O. Box 2607 Apapa, Lagos.

ABSTRACT

*An experiment was conducted between August and November, 2008 at Wuya-Bida to determine the profitability of integrating fish culture into rice farming. Two treatments (mono-rice and rice-fish) in triplicate were used. The area of each plot was 144 m² and the mono-rice plots consisted of only rice farming while the rice-fish plots had rice farming incorporated with the raising of *Oreochromis niloticus* and *Clarias gariepinus* fingerlings. The fish were fed with compounded feed and wheat offal and at the end of the experimental period of 60 days, *O. niloticus* fingerlings had a mean weight gain of 47.60 ± 1.86 g in the rice-fish plots while *C. gariepinus* fingerlings had a mean weight gain of 110.80 ± 2.92 g. *C. gariepinus* fingerlings performed better than *O. niloticus* fingerlings. Values for physico-chemical parameters showed that both pH and dissolved oxygen were outside the favourable limits (pH, 6.5-9; DO, ≥ 5 mg/lit) recommended for warm water aquaculture in the rice-fish plots. Cost-benefit analysis showed that the integration of fish into the rice system confers substantial profitability on the system going from the production, total and net income differences between mono-rice and rice-fish plots. However, cost-*

benefit ratio of the mono-rice plots was slightly better than that of the rice-fish plots.

Keywords: mono-rice, rice-fish, *Oreochromis niloticus*, *Clarias gariepinus*, cost-benefit

INTRODUCTION

The lack of food security for a large proportion of the African population continues to exacerbate poverty and malnutrition (Defoer *et al.*, 2008). Rice-fish farming has great potential in contributing to food and nutritional security, income generation, poverty alleviation and socio-economic growth in Africa (Halwart and Gupta, 2004). Rice-fish farming is the growing of rice and fish (i.e. aquatic animals – fin fish, shell fish, shrimps, frogs etc) together in the same field at the same time (concurrent method) or the growing of rice and fish one after the other within the same field (rotational method) or the growing of rice and fish side by side in separate compartments, using the same water (Ahmed *et al.*, 1992; Halwart and Gupta, 2004). In **shallow water** rice-fish farming, the water level is less than 50cm while in **deep water** rice-fish farming, the water level is 50cm and above. According to FAO (1993), the vast majority of the world's rice-fish farms are shallow water farms. Rice-fish farming is the most widely practised of all forms of integrated fish farming worldwide. Huge areas of land (especially in Asia) are used globally for rice-fish farming. According to Halwart (1998), the total world rice farming area is about 148 million ha. India is the world's largest rice growing nation (42.3 million ha) followed by China with 33 million ha. In China 1.2 million ha of rice area is used for rice-fish farming. About 90% of the world's rice farming

area is in Asia and the major fish species cultured in Asian rice farms are the carps (common carp, grass carp, black carp etc.); Tilapia species (especially *O. niloticus*); silver barb and catfishes. In Africa, fishes usually cultured in rice fields are the Tilapia species, (*O. niloticus* and *O. mossambicus*), *Clarias* and carp species. In Egypt, fish production from rice-fish farming accounted for 32% of the total fish production from aquaculture in 1995 even though, rice-fish farming area declined from 224,917ha in 1989 to 172,800ha in 1995 due to the adoption of improved rice varieties and subsequent conversion of rice-fish areas to monoculture rice. In Madagascar, about 1,100 tons of fish were produced as at 1995 from about 13,500ha of rice farms under FAO/UNDP assistance. According to Miller *et al.* (2006), rice-fish farming has huge potentials in Nigeria which has about 2 million hectares of irrigated and swampy rice areas under cultivation. Okoye *et al.* (1999) carried out an experiment to compare yields from rice-fish farms in two ecological zones of Nigeria namely, Gwagwalada in the central zone and Dadin Kowa in the north-east zone. The experiment was with a polyculture of *Clarias*, *Tilapia* and Common carp. Projected total income from the Gwagwalada area with a fish stocking density of 1,325 fingerlings was ₦60,710. With a density of 1,700 fingerlings, the Dadin Kowa area had a projected income of ₦55,020. Culture period in Gwagwalada was 98 days and 105 days for Dadin Kowa. Yaro (1996) showed that stocking rice fields with fish at the rate of 1000-6000 fry/ha gave fish yield of 100-250 kg/ha with a net income of ₦10,800/ha. Rice production gave a net income of ₦84,000. This shows that rice-fish farming has great potentials in Nigeria. In addition, Kogbe *et al.* (2000) and Yaro *et al.* (2000), reported that rice yield from rice-fish culture was more than that from mono-rice culture. Halwart and Gupta (2004), concluded that rice-fish

culture is likely to give higher rice yields than mono-rice culture because the rice-fish plots will have less weeds that will compete with rice plant for nutrients and less pests that will harm the rice since fish consume both the weeds and some of the pests. Wu (1995) stated that excretion by fish adds to soil fertility and fish movement helps to circulate nutrients in rice-fish culture and so rice yield is expected to be higher. This study was undertaken to determine the profitability of rice-fish farming in comparison to mono-rice farming in Bida, central Nigeria.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Location.

The experiment was conducted at the rice farm of Edusoko and Sons Farm, Wuya-Bida, Niger State. The rice farm is basically rain fed but the experiment was done towards the end of the rainy season and this entailed the pumping of water from a nearby stream in order to maintain specific water levels. A rice nursery was made and rice seedlings were transplanted from it into the rice plots.

Preparation of Mono-Rice Plots.

Six plots (each of area 144m²) were used for the experiment consisting of two treatments (mono-rice and rice-fish) and two replicates. A rice nursery was prepared with FARO 52 rice variety (120 day maturity period) obtained from the National Cereals Research Institute, Badeggi. The mono-rice plots were prepared and bunds of height, 0.3 m were made around each rice plot. Herbicides were used to kill weeds and the plots were fertilized with NPK fertilizer (20:10:10) at a rate of 220 kg/ha and urea at a rate of 56 kg/ha. The 4-week-old seedlings were transplanted into the plots at a spacing of 20cm between rows and lines. The rice plots were then flooded after the seedlings were transplanted. The water level was maintained at a minimum of 10cm throughout the experimental period. The plots were weeded as the need arose and

pesticides were also used to kill pests like stemborers, leafhoppers, snails etc.

Preparation of Rice-Fish Plots.

The rice-fish plots were also prepared and two trenches (each of dimension: 12m x 1m x 0.5m) were dug opposite one another at the periphery of each plot. The trenches were dug in order to provide a place of deeper refuge for the fish when water level is to shallow for them. Bunds of height 0.6m were made around each rice-fish plot. The rice-fish plots were fertilized with NPK and urea at the same rate as the mono-rice plots and seedlings were transplanted into them. The rice-fish plots were flooded with water after the seedlings were transplanted and the water level was maintained at a minimum of 20cm throughout the experiment. Weeding of the plots was done adequately. No herbicide or pesticide was used in the rice-fish plots.

Stocking of Fish.

Fish was stocked into the rice-fish plots 35 days after transplanting at a density of 2 fingerlings/m² as recommended by Onuoha (2006). 200 *Oreochromis niloticus* fingerlings (mean initial weight 3.9 ± 0.17 g) and 100 *Clarias gariepinus* fingerlings (mean initial weight 2.2 ± 0.25 g) were stocked into each rice-fish plot at a stocking ratio of 2:1. The fingerlings were fed compounded feed of 40% crude protein content in the mornings and with wheat offal in the evenings everyday.

Sampling of Rice-fish plots

The pH, temperature, dissolved oxygen and conductivity of water in the flooded rice plots were measured before the fish were stocked. The plots were sampled weekly and this involved the measuring of the weights, total and standard lengths of fish; pH, temperature, dissolved oxygen and conductivity of water. Temperature and DO were measured with HACH dissolved oxygen meter (model DO 175);

pH with Jenway pH meter and Conductivity with ELE conductivity meter (model DA-1). No pesticide was applied on the rice-fish plots.

Harvest of Rice and Fish.

The period from the planting of the rice seeds through transplanting to maturity was 123 days. The rice seedlings were transplanted 28 days after the nursery was made and the fish was stocked 35 days after the rice seedlings were transplanted and the fish spent 60 days in the rice-fish plots. The rice was harvested from the mono-rice plots and rice yield determined. The rice, followed by the fish, was also harvested from the rice-fish plots and yields of both rice and fish were determined and this was used to determine the viability of the rice-fish system.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fish Production. The mean growth parameters of fish in the rice-fish plots are shown in Table 1. *O. niloticus* fingerlings in the rice-fish plots grew from an initial weight of 3.9 ± 0.17 g to 51.50 ± 1.77 g i.e. a weight gain of 47.60 ± 1.86 g in 60 days. *C. gariepinus* fingerlings in the rice-fish plots had a mean weight gain of 110.80 ± 0.92 g. The same profile is observed in mean values for total and standard lengths viz, *C. gariepinus* fingerlings performed better than *O. niloticus* fingerlings. This may be due to the fact that during feeding, *C. gariepinus* fingerlings out-competed *O. niloticus* fingerlings in picking the compounded feed. *O. niloticus* were only able to feed on wheat offal which by its nature, spreads all over the water surface. *O. niloticus* had already started breeding in the plots which means they had grown into breeders. No fish mortality was recorded throughout the experiment.

Table 1. Growth parameters of fish in the rice-fish plots

Parameter	<i>O. niloticus</i>	<i>C. gariepinus</i>
Mean initial weight (g)	3.90 ± 0.17	2.20 ± 0.25
Mean final weight (g)	51.50 ± 1.77	113.00 ± 1.22
Mean weight gain (g)	47.60 ± 1.86	110.80 ± 2.92
Daily weight gain (g)	0.79	1.85
Mean initial Total Length (cm)	7.00 ± 0.20	9.80 ± 0.50
Mean final Total Length (cm)	24.20 ± 0.15	40.70 ± 0.75
Mean initial Standard Length (cm)	4.85 ± 0.20	7.70 ± 0.35
Mean final Standard Length (cm)	20.46 ± 1.00	35.90 ± 0.80

Single factor ANOVA showed no significant difference ($P \geq 0.05$) in the mean values of all the parameters in the replicates for both *O. niloticus* and *C. gariepinus*. But the mean values for the same parameters for *O. niloticus* were significantly different ($P < 0.05$) from those of *C. gariepinus*. Values of physico-chemical parameters are shown on Table 2. Temperature ranged from 33.5 to 30.1 °C. DO values in the rice-fish plots were

lower than the 5–15 mg/lit range recommended for good growth and reproduction of fish (Boyd, 1998). This may be responsible for the lower-than-expected growth of the fish. The DO of the stream water used to maintain the water level in the two plots was 4.34 mg/lit. Conductivity ranged from 60-70 µS/cm while pH was lower than the 6.5-9 range recommended for warm water aquaculture (Aquaculture SA, 1999).

Table 2. Mean values of physico-chemical parameters

	Temperature (°C)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/lit)	Conductivity (µS/cm)	pH
Initial	33.5	3.46	60	6.20
Final	30.1	3.16	70	5.89

Rice Production. Production from the three mono-rice and three rice-fish plots are shown in Table 3. The mono rice plots produced more rice than the rice-fish plots and this is probably due to the fact that they had more area for rice growth than

the rice-fish plots which had part of their area used for rearing fish. The cost-benefit analysis of mono-rice and rice-fish sections of the experiment is also shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Production and cost-benefit analysis of mono rice and rice-fish production (after 123 days for rice and 60 days for fish).

Item	Mono-rice	Rice-fish
A. Income: Rice	78 kg x ₦100/kg = ₦7,800	60.5 kg x ₦100/kg = 6,500
Fish: <i>O. niloticus</i>		30.9 kg x ₦200/kg = 6,180
<i>C. gariepinus</i>		37.24 kg x ₦350/kg = ₦14,896
TOTAL INCOME	₦7,800	₦27,576
B. Expenditure:		
(i) Labour		
Land preparation	900	900
Digging of trenches		600
Planting/transplanting	300	300
Fertilizing	300	300
Weeding	1,000	1,500
Rice harvesting	500	500
Rice milling	300	300
(ii) Inputs		
Rice seed	200	200
Fertilizer	600	480
Herbicide	450	
Petrol for water pump	500	1,000
Fish feed		7,900
Fish fingerlings:		
<i>O. niloticus</i> 600 fingerlings x ₦5		3,000
<i>C. gariepinus</i> 300 fingerlings x ₦10		3,000
TOTAL EXPENDITURE	₦5,050	₦19,980
COST-BENEFIT RATIO	1:1.5	1:1.4
NET INCOME: A – B	₦2,750	₦7,596

It is obvious from table 3 that rice-fish farming is a potentially profitable enterprise and will provide both carbohydrate and protein to the ordinary fish farmer in addition to making available more income for his needs. Table 3 shows that the integration of fish into the rice system confers substantial profitability on the system going from the total and net income differences between mono-rice and rice-fish plots. However, the experiment failed to achieve the higher rice yields in the rice-fish plots as stated by Kogbe *et al.* (2000) and Yaro *et al.* (2000). While rice yield from the mono-rice plots was 78kg, that from the rice-fish plots was 60.5kg. In addition, the cost-benefit ratio of the mono-rice plots was slightly better than that of the rice-fish plots.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The results of the experiment shows that rice-fish farming is more profitable than mono-rice farming and that in a situation where rice production fails, a farmer can minimise the loss he would have incurred from the production of fish. However, the experiment failed to achieve the expected higher rice yield from the rice-fish plots compared to the mono-rice plots and it is recommended that further studies be carried out to determine why this is so.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are grateful to the management and staff of Edusoko and sons farm, Wuya-Bida for the use of the farm for the experiment and the first class cooperation given to us. Special thanks go to the Executive director and management of the National Institute for Freshwater

Fisheries research, New Bussa for sponsoring the research.

REFERENCES

- Ahmed, M., M.A.P. Bimbao and R.C. Sevilleja. (1992). The economics of rice-fish in Asian mixed farming system - a case study of the Philippines, In: C.R. De la Cruz, C. Lightfoot, B.A. Costa-Pierce, V.R. Carangal and M.P. Bimbao (eds.) Rice-fish research and development in Asia. *ICLARM Conf. Proc.* 24. p. 207-216
- Aquaculture SA. (1999). Water quality in freshwater aquaculture ponds. Primary Industries and resources Factsheet, April, 1999. P. 5
- Boyd, C.E. (1998). Water Quality for Pond Aquaculture. Research and Development Series No. 43. International Center for Aquaculture and Aquatic Environments, Alabama Agricultural Experiment Station, Auburn University, Alabama. Pp 20-51
- Defoer, T., Wopereis, M.C.S., Jones, M.P., Lançon, F., Erenstein, O. and Guei, R.G. (2008). Rice-based production systems for food security and poverty alleviation in sub-Saharan Africa. *Proceedings of the FAO Rice Conference*. www.fao.org/docrep/008/Y5682e/y5682e0d.htm#bm13 retrieved on 21/05/08
- Food and Agriculture Organization. (1993). *FAO aquaculture Newsletter* No.4, August, 1993. p.1
- Halwart, M. (1998). Trends in Rice-fish farming. *FAO aquaculture Newsletter* No 18, April 1998. Pp 3-11.
- Halwart, M. and M.V. Gupta. (2004). Culture of fish in rice fields. FAO and the WorldFish Center. P. 83
- Kogbe, J. S. O., Ramlafa, O. T., Saeed, I. A., Ogonyinka, K. O. and Gansallo, A. I. (2000). Introduction of rice-fish culture into farming systems in Lagos State. *Proceedings of the SW Zone Annual Report of Fisheries on Farm Adapted Research*. Lagos State ADP. Pp 11-17
- Miller, J., Atanda, T., Asala, G. and Chen, W. H. (2006). Integrated Irrigation-Aquaculture Opportunities in Nigeria: The Special Programme for Food Security and Rice-Fish Farming in Nigeria. www.fao.org/docrep/009/a0444e/A0444E02.htm retrieved on 23/05/08
- Okoye, F.C; I. Asekome; O.A Fadami and J. Ndueso. (1999). Effect of fertilization and feeding on fish and rice yield in an integrated rice-cum-fish culture system in central and semi-arid zone of Nigeria. *NIFFR Annual Report*. Pp.64-67
- Onuoha, G. E. (2006). Productivity of rice cum fish in freshwater pond system in Umudike, South Eastern Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Fisheries* 2/3 (2): 280-289
- Wu, L. (1995). Methods of rice-fish and their ecological efficiency. In: K. T. Mackay (ed). Rice-fish culture in China. International Development Research centre, Ottawa, Canada. P. 91-96
- Yaro, I. (1996). A review paper on the production Trials of rice-cum-fish culture-A transferable concept into Niger state, Nigeria. *FISON Conf. Proc.* Pp.55
- Yaro, I. (2000). Determination of optimum stocking density of the fingerlings of the Nile Tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* in rice-cum-fish culture in Niger State, Nigeria. *Journal of Nigerian association of Teachers of Technology* 3(2): 528-536